

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.9	ARG	Agree
B.9	ARG	Agree
B.9	AUS	Agree in principle; however, recommend that the 30 days should be increased to 90 days for horses transferred to a new trainer when the original trainer has been suspended. Otherwise a trainer can be suspended, then a new trainer appointed and the horses continue to race 4 weeks later. This is not a big enough penalty for infringing trainers/owners.
B.9	AUT	We do support this proposal which is in the interest of the trainer knowledge of his horses and can also prevent late changes which may not help to adopt adequate sanctions on the right person in case of need.
B.9	BEL	Belgium recommends keeping the current rules. This article concerns essentially UAE.
B.9	BRN	We recommend maintaining the Current Endurance Rules because a majority of stables have more than 1 trainer for their horses as a back to back training exercise so that the competitiveness of the horse is not affected if one trainer is absent. We do not believe that the delay of 30 days has any emphasis to the welfare of the horse if the trainer is changed before an event.
B.9	CAN	We agree with this proposal, trainers should be of legal age.
B.9	EEF	We do support this proposal which is in the interest of the trainer knowledge of his horses and can also prevent late changes which may not help to adopt adequate sanctions on the right person in case of need.
B.9	ESP	agree
B.9	GBR	<input type="checkbox"/> How would young owner/riders be affected? <input type="checkbox"/> How would the FEI know that records had been updated correctly? <input type="checkbox"/> Would prefer a 7 day ban to start with to assess the impact <input type="checkbox"/> Trainers in this country have a different type of role – a delay after swapping trainers would not be necessary <input type="checkbox"/> Should be no change of trainer after definite close of entries
B.9	ITA	We do support this proposal which is in the best interest of the trainer to know his horses better and can also prevent last minute changes in order to transfer/spread responsibilities in case of potential sanctions.
B.9	JPN	We believe 30 days is too long, especially if this rule is going to be applied to ANY change in the Trainer. For example, if a Trainer is killed at an accident, would the horse, with a new Trainer, need to wait for 30 days to participate in the next
B.9	KSA	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>
B.9	LBN	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>
B.9	LBN	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.9	LBY	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>
B.9	NAM	agree
B.9	NED	We don't support this rule. It should only apply to CEI2* and above. Competing in a CEI1* event is an excellent way to get to know a horse. The new rules for qualification including speed caps will sufficiently protect the horse. Only the responsible federation may change this.
B.9	NOR	This is ok
B.9	NZL	Whilst it would be uncommon for a horse to have a change in trainer in New Zealand during the season, that may be required in some instances e.g. injury or illness of the trainer. If this rule was introduced, it may preclude horses competing at some events if the trainer had to be changed. Generally though, we could work with this rule and aim that most changes in horse ownership (and hence trainer) occur outside the competitive season. We would vote for this rule.
B.9	OMA	We supporting to maintain the current rules
B.9	POR	AGREE
B.9	POR	AGREE
B.9	RSA	Maintain existing rule
B.9	RUS	<p>WE RECOMMEND KEEPING THE CURRENT RULES BECAUSE:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stables with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable as they assist in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in case if changing the current Trainer is needed. 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30-days competition ban due to the reason if the Trainer has changed. <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>
B.9	SLO	agree
B.9	SUD	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>
B.9	SUD	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.9	UAE	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the sport developed, stable with a big number of horses have more than one trainer for each stable who help in horse training and therefore have knowledge and experience in dealing with horses in the event of changing the current Trainer 2. The horse suspension period for participation is very long compared to the short season period. 3. There is little evidence to support this 30day competition ban because the Trainer has changed. If the rules are considered to be protecting the horse what difference will a 30day competition ban, make? <p>We suggest having pledge/ commitment from the new Trainer that he/she is fully responsible for a horse after changed to his/her supervision</p>