

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.13	ARG	We agree with this proposal, as long as it is taken in account the type of injury and if it prejudice in any way the horse ability to continue.
B.13	AUS	The inclusion of the word “verbally” in how the veterinarians will communicate to the ground jury should be removed; silent written voting ensures the votes are independent, and also protects the vets from being overheard.
B.13	AUT	EEF WG position: we do support the FEI ETC proposal. Reasoning : The way of control and decision making process corresponds to what we expect.
B.13	BRN	We agree with this proposal
B.13	CAN	We agree with this proposal because we believe that all sources of blood on a horse should be reviewed to be determined if it safe for the horse to continue. There are times that a horse with blood may be identified in the field of play, and there are no veterinarians available for many kilometers, so other officials should be given some authority to deal with these situations – a scratch that is clotting, vs a gaping wound are the easy ones, the situations in-between would require some sort of guidance and or protocol.
B.13	EEF	EEF WG position: we do support the FEI ETC proposal. Reasoning : The way of control and decision making process corresponds to what we expect.
B.13	ESP	We agree with this proposal, although we find that in practice this already happens, and as such it seems like it is a rule making official what already happens in the field, which makes it a great, truly and tested rule as all should be.
B.13	GBR	<input type="checkbox"/> There would need to be a reporting system for crews and other riders to report horses with visible blood <input type="checkbox"/> Not a practical rule for injuries on course – would a panel of three be expected to go out on course to look at a scratch? <input type="checkbox"/> Would work in vetgates <input type="checkbox"/> It would need re-wording for tiny spots of blood eg fly bites
B.13	IRL	Part B 8.6 Final Inspection The criteria for the Final Inspection must be "Fit to Continue". This should be one of the cornerstones of the sport. It will ensure that more considered riding will take place on the last loop, instead of an “emptying the tank” attitude. Part B 9.3 (a) (iii) No kneeling, feeding, twitching, pulling down on the horses head or touching the horses during the taking of the heart rate. At the expense of losing the first Inspection. Part B 9.3.(d) The use of a second heart rate reader should only be confirmatory i.e. for 15 seconds to confirm the first reader. To allow a horse a full 60 secs on the second reader is to give it an unfair advantage time wise. Part B 10.1 The Ground Jury, Stewards and Vets are responsible for enforcing the Horse Inspection decorum.
B.13	ITA	Proposal: We do support the FEI ETC proposal.
B.13	NOR	This is ok
B.13	NZL	We support this rule as long as elimination is based on veterinary opinion as to the significance of the bleeding and that it isn't an automatic elimination. We would vote for this rule.
B.13	POR	AGREE
B.13	SLO	Veterinary inspection in case of visible blood.