

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	ARG	<p>We don't agree with the proposal to include additional 7 days for Horses exceeding the average of 22kph, not all venues have the same type of terrain.</p> <p>We propose more rest time between races:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0-46 KM 7 days 46-86 KM 14 days 86-126 KM 30 days 126-146 KM 45 days 146 KM or more 2 months <p>We agree with the additional day for Me/Gait and for MOOCPs specifically targete to musculoskeletal or metabolic serious injury and no longer related directly to immediate invasive treatment.</p>
B.10	AUS	<p>Agree with the additional 7 days for horses completing at higher speed.</p> <p>EA are concerned that the MOOCP for FTQ-ME are reduced and not linked to the need for invasive treatment, and that the additional time out of competition has been removed for horses on their first and second FTQ-GA. EA would recommend reconsidering all the MCOOPs to ensure coverage of the variety of conditions horses develop.</p>
B.10	AUT	<p>EEF WG position : we do support the proposal with 1 exception as we suggest to take in account national competitions for the entire rule, elimination, successful ride ... not only for the eliminations.</p> <p>Reasoning :</p> <p>We note that the amount of consecutive FTQ-GA are being reduced from five to four in order to ban a horse from Endurance for life, taking into account both International and National competitions. However, currently, National competitions are only taken into account when the Horse is eliminated but not when the Horse completes the ride successfully, which seems very edged.</p>
B.10	BRA	MOOCP based on an average speed of 22 kph up, this issue should be readdressed from a different angle as an average speed on a flat trail or in the mountains under different climatologically circumstances is a totally different matter
B.10	BRN	We recommend maintaining the Current Endurance Rules as more studies on Mandatory Out-of-Competition Periods (MOOCP) are required.
B.10	CAN	<p>We agree with the proposals, except;</p> <p>We recommend that the standard MOOCP for 120-160 km should be 26 days.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Essentially, at present, if you pull at the last hold in a 160 (before 90 miles) it's just under 4 weeks, if you complete it's just under 5. We don't think that extra week's rest for 10 miles is scientifically justified; <input type="checkbox"/> 5 weeks off after a 160 seriously impacts the ride season in northern climates and does nothing to protect the horse because it doesn't address training. <p>Also, we believe it may be founded on a false assumption. In most other sports, once you've reached a certain level you no longer compete at lower levels; in fact, in many sports this isn't allowed. There may be an underlying assumption that, following a 160 ride your next competition would be another 160, this is not necessarily true for us. Most people might do an 80 next as part of a training program.</p>
B.10	CHI	<p>Increased mandatory rest (additional rest) after races with speeds exceeding 22 km / hr, 2 successive eliminations FTQ-ME, 3 successive eliminations FTQ-GA.</p> <p>We agree.</p>
B.10	CRC	<p>CRC Comment: If national events are taken into consideration for MOOCP, national events should also be considered to meet other criteria. We do not believe National Events should only be used for sanctions, if they are to be used for negative, they must also be used for positive.</p> <p>We propose that National Events help break a lameness streak or help meet qualification criteria if these events are approved by the NF, have less than 25 horses and; there is at least 1 FEI official and 1 FEI Vet on the panel and where results are public and transparent.</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	EEF	<p>EEF WG position : we do support the proposal with 1 exception as we suggest to take in account national competitions for the entire rule, elimination, successful ride ... not only for the eliminations.</p> <p>Reasoning :</p> <p>We note that the amount of consecutive FTQ-GA are being reduced from five to four in order to ban a horse from Endurance for life, taking into account both International and National competitions. However, currently, National competitions are only taken into account when the Horse is eliminated but not when the Horse completes the ride successfully, which seems very edged.</p>
B.10	ESP	<p>We have noted during this time that the amount of consecutive FTQ-GA are being reduced from five to four in order to ban a horse from Endurance for life, taking into account both International and National competitions. However, currently, National competitions are only taken into account when the Horse is eliminated but not when the Horse completes the ride successfully, which seems very edged. We would like that National competitions are taken into account for the entire rule, or not at all, not just for eliminations.</p>
B.10	GBR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Will help horse welfare <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear definition of serious metabolic injury <input type="checkbox"/> Is the rule about a sound national ride not breaking a consecutive string of FEI lamenesses still there? <input type="checkbox"/> 4 consecutive lamenesses should be a 2 year ban and then vet check before re-entry <input type="checkbox"/> May be difficult to monitor/enforce and add an administrative burden <input type="checkbox"/> An average loop speed doesn't address the issue of a fast single loop (ie the last loop) <input type="checkbox"/> We need to protect national multi day rides <input type="checkbox"/> 22kph is still too fast <input type="checkbox"/> Consecutive lamenesses may not be a recurrent problem
B.10	IRL	<p>There is no additional MOOCP for first Lameness or Metabolic failure. This should be retained as per the current rules.</p>
B.10	IRL	<p>Addition MOOCP based on Speed Caps: This is virtually impossible to manage for most rides. It is strongly disagreed with</p>
B.10	ITA	<p>Proposal:</p> <p>In addition to the proposal we suggest taking into account national competitions for the entire rule, elimination, successful ride ... not only for the eliminations.</p> <p>Reasoning :</p> <p>We note that the amount of consecutive FTQ-GA are being reduced from five to four in order to ban a horse from Endurance for life, taking into account both International and National competitions. However, currently, National competitions are only taken into account when the Horse is eliminated but not when the Horse completes the ride successfully, which seems not fair.</p>
B.10	JPN	<p>Four Consecutive FTQ-GA ---- in a rolling year?</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	KSA	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by "Equi-rating", an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) ▪ Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. ▪ While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lower-performing horses. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. ▪ Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	LBN	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by “Equirating”, an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	LBN	<p>We agree with this proposal</p> <p>Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	LBN	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by "Equirating", an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	LBN	<p>We agree with this proposal</p> <p>Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	LBY	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by “Equirating”, an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	LBY	<p>We agree with this proposal Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?</p>
B.10	NAM	YES
B.10	NED	We would like to propose to add an additional 7-days to all MOOCPs. This rule better protects horse welfare while keeping the cost acceptable as can be seen in the graph in the Guidance note.
B.10		Has the influence of the several different courses been taking into account in the research?
B.10	NOR	This is ok
B.10	NZL	In general, we support this rule change. However, we do not believe it is in the best interests of horse welfare to remove the additional MOOCP for a horse on its first, or second consecutive FTQ-GA. We would strongly recommend reintroducing the MOOCPs for any FTQ-GA. We would vote for this rule change.
B.10	OMA	<p>Supporting the Rules Flat tracks in many countries is helping the horses transition from Trot to Canter with 22km normaiiy and the speed has to be compared with nature of tracks .Horses participate regularly in endurance races have fewer injuries than with horses returned to competition after a long break.</p>
B.10		We supporting the new proposals. But how can the FEI determine the MOOCP of national events.
B.10	POR	AGREE
B.10	POR	The Portuguese NF agrees with all the additional MOOCP, exception made in what concerns the “Four consecutive FTQ-GA” where ETC propose that the horse be banned from endurance competitions. We thing that banning the horse is a very excessive rule. We propose 210 days

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	RSA	About additional 7 days rest for Horses exceeding the average of 22kph over completed phases: This is ridiculous - how can all rides be 22kmp? Some terrain lends itself to quicker times
B.10	RUS	WE RECOMMEND KEEPING THE CURRENT RULES
B.10	SLO	Speed kills! We want endurance, no endurance flat race.
B.10	SUD	We recommend maintaining the current Rules because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by “Equirating”, an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	SUD	We agree with this proposal Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	SUD	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by "Equirating", an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20 kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	SUD	<p>We agree with this proposal</p> <p>Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?</p>

Ref, Memo	NF	NF Comment
B.10	UAE	<p>We recommend maintaining the current Rules because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature of the tracks in many countries are flat tracks, thus, the speed of 22 km is the normal speed of the horses during the transition from Trot to Canter in flat tracks while it is much less in mounting and stony tracks. - The speed must be compared with the track nature: speed varies according to geographical regions and event tracks where sometimes in some areas the speed is 15 km/h, but compared to the effort exerted by horses in these areas, it is equivalent to a speed of more than 22 km /h. - The results of the scientific and practical study by "Equirating", an equestrian research analytics company that has conducted several FEI studies, by analyzing 62,288 results, 618 competitions and 13280 horses show the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Horses seriously injured are those who have returned to competition after a long break (When assessing rest periods for horses, the most significant increase in risk to horse welfare are those horses who have not competed within the last 365 days) <input type="checkbox"/> Horses that are constantly involved in Endurance events develop fewer injuries. <input type="checkbox"/> While research shows that speed is undoubtedly a risk factor, a finding that we do not dispute in any way, the reality in the sport is that horses with faster completion rates have higher qualification rates in their next competition, this is simply because those capable of producing high levels of performance are more likely to be successful in future competitions. This evidence suggests that elite horses should not be required to rest for longer periods between competitions as they are already producing higher qualification rates than lowerperforming horses. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with poor performance in past competitions have low qualification rates in future competitions. <input type="checkbox"/> Horses with faster completion speeds have higher qualification rates in their next competition. - In addition, we all know that the endurance season in most countries of the world is very short. Accordingly, adding additional rest period will impact the fitness and health of the horses and the growth and development of the endurance sport in the world. - In 2018, The proposed rule was to apply an additional 7 days rest period for Horses exceeding the average of 20kph, and this year the proposed speed became 22 km kph which indicates that there is no field-based study that clearly shows what exactly the average speed require an additional mandatory rest period. This suggests that more field studies should be conducted before an extra mandatory period is imposed
B.10	UAE	<p>We agree with this proposal</p> <p>Does FEI now record the national events on their platform? How can the FEI determine the MOOCP of a national event?</p>
B.10	URU	<p>We believe that establishing a rest period based only on speed is not considering the several different factors that are related to the effort of the horse in a competition. All the countries have different weather conditions and topography. While 22 km / h speed in a flat and terrain with dry climate can be a perfectly suitable speed for a horse yet it can be very harmful in a mountainous terrain and under a humid weather conditions.</p>
B.10	USA	<p>USEF Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Approve however it is recommend for consistency to not use both subsequent and consecutive within the same Table (Art. 834.2.1). <input type="checkbox"/> Furthermore, it is requested for national competitions to be removed from the rule as the MOOCP is not being applied fairly or uniformly across all NFs and the FEI is not in a position to "check" national results. <p>Observation – The MOOCPs have become so complex that there is an obvious risk that those not intimately involved with Endurance on a day in day out basis are going to struggle to understand them.</p>