Preparation of the full FEI JRs Revision for 2022

- The FEI Jumping Committee received a huge number of rule change requests
  - all were discussed
  - some were accepted
  - some were rejected
  - some will be discussed again
...let’s start with some remarks...

- The following are the main proposed modifications to the FEI JRIs 2022
- Only the main proposals that affect the sport and/or the organisation of Events have been included here
- The full set of rule change proposals for 2022 will be published on 12 July 2021 as per the rule revision process
- Section A includes proposals from NFs/MOU stakeholder groups within the framework of the rule revision process
- Section B includes modifications proposed by the FEI and the FEI JC
Section A:
JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art. 236 TABLE A
  – Art. 236.1 (vii) and (viii)

Issue:
• The “Time Allowed” (TA) and the “Time of the round” are crucial elements to the sport and time penalties should reflect how close an Athlete is to meeting the TA
• On exceeding the TA Athletes should be penalised according to their performance
• Penalties should be the same in all rounds, phases and jump-offs
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art. 236 TABLE A

- Art. 236.1 (vii) and (viii)

Proposal:

- in all rounds, phases and jump-offs the penalty for exceeding the TA is one penalty for every one second commenced.
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art 251.17 ENTRIES (see also GRs Art. 116)

• 17. Withdrawals after the date of definite entries or no-shows will be liable to reimburse the OC for the financial loss incurred by the OC (i.e. stabling and hotel expenses) as a result of late withdrawal or no-show.

Issue:

• What happens if an Athlete withdraws after the date of definite entries in cases where horse flights are at the expenses of the OCs?
• As the OC must pay in advance for the flight, this results in a substantial financial loss.
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art 251.17 ENTRIES (see also GRs Art. 116)

Proposal:

• In principle, the FEI JC supports the proposal to make the Athlete liable to reimburse the OC for the financial loss incurred by the OC.

• As Art. 251.17 is based on GRs Art. 116 both would need to be in alignment; final wording to be confirmed with the Legal Department.
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art. 259 OFFICIALS

Issue:

• The (experience) level of GJ Members at CSIO5* should be raised, as L2 Judges (or lower) cannot be used in all positions

• Following the implementation of the new Education System for Jumping Judges, there is no real reason to use National Judges anymore (Exception: Stand-alone CSI1*/CSIYH1* and CSIY/J/CH/Am Cat. B)

• Why are we using National Judges outside their home country?
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art. 259 OFFICIALS
Proposal:
• At CSIO5*:  
  – GJP (L4) + FJ (min. L3) + min. three L3 (min) Members  
  – additional Members may be min. L2
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Art. 259 OFFICIALS

Proposal:

• National Judges may only officiate at CSI1*/CSIYH1* and CSIY/J/Ch/P/V/Am Cat. B Events that are not combined with higher level events (effective as of 1 July 2022)

• For the avoidance of doubt
  – all FEI World Cup™ Events are considered higher level Events, including CSI1*-W and CSI2*-W
  – National Judges may not officiate outside their home country
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex V – CSI INVITATION RULES

Issue:

• Current rule: 2.1.3 Filling the quota of compulsory invitations
• If the quota of compulsory invitations is not filled by midnight on the 4th Sunday prior to the Event, at the time of Invitation Allocation, the remaining invitations will revert to Section 3: OC Invitations.
• If an entry is withdrawn after the allocation of invitations, the place will revert to Section 3: OC Invitations.
• Question: Is this a fair system?
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex V – CSI INVITATION RULES

Proposal:

• The FEI JC agrees in principle that if an entry is withdrawn after the allocation of invitations, the place will revert to Section 1: Compulsory Invitations

• However, the final wording has TBC, as we are looking for a pragmatic and practical solution

• The proposal is under discussion with the FEI IT Department regarding the practical aspects of implementation
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex V – CSI INVITATION RULES

– Certificate of Capability for CSI5* Events

Issue:

• The question has been raised if a CoC should be introduced as requirement for Athletes to compete in the main competition of CSI5*
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex V – CSI INVITATION RULES
- Certificate of Capability for CSI5* Events

Proposal:
- Introduction of a CoC for CSI5* Events
- In principle, the FEI JC supports the introduction of a CoC but proposes revised criteria, and to include these under Art. 261 (Grand Prix Competitions) instead of Annex V (Invitation Rules)
- The proposed criteria are included under JRs 261.4 in Section B of the provided document
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex VI – CSI/CSIO REQUIREMENTS
  – Requirements Worldwide: Maximum number of starters – Splitting the Competition

Issue:
• What is the “right” number of Athletes/Horses?
• What is the “right” system to split Competitions?
• What amount of prize money must be distributed?
• What system has to be used to distribute the prize money?
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex VI – CSI/CSIO REQUIREMENTS

- Requirements Worldwide: Maximum number of starters – Splitting the Competition

Proposal:

• If more than 100 starters are declared, the OC must split the Competition into two groups and provide 200% of the original Competition prize money which is to be divided equally between the two groups

• Related provisions included in Annex VI regarding splitting in case of more than 200 starters etc. to be amended accordingly
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

RULES FOR JUMPING CHAMPIONSHIPS AND GAMES: CHAPTER II
CONTINENTAL CHAMPIONSHIP (TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS) -
ENTRIES

– Art. 319.4.1 Additional Athlete and Horse Annex V – CSI INVITATION RULES

Issue:

• The current system does not foresee the use of the 5th Athlete
• They must stay on the venue and do not even have the chance to participate as individuals in the Championships
• Proposal to let the 5th Athlete compete as an Individual in the Team and Individual Championships
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

RULES FOR JUMPING CHAMPIONSHIPS AND GAMES: CHAPTER II CONTINENTAL CHAMPIONSHIP (TEAMS AND INDIVIDUALS) - ENTRIES

- Art. 319.4.1 Additional Athlete and Horse Annex V - CSI INVITATION RULES

Proposal:

• Art. 319.4.1

Additional Athlete and Horse

- The additional Athlete (5th Athlete) and his/her Horses are allowed to take part in the Team and Individual competitions of the Championship; the Horses of team members and individuals not taking part in the Championship Competitions may compete in the non-Championship Competitions
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex IX – RULES FOR UNDER 25 (U25), YOUNG RIDERS AND JUNIORS
  – Art. 18.1 – TEAM CLASSIFICATION

Annex XII – RULES FOR CHILDREN’S EVENTS
  – Art. 24.1 – TEAM CLASSIFICATION

Issue:
• Does the current rule provide equal opportunities to all Nations, as not all of them can enter 5 Athletes/Horses?
• What can be done to avoid disadvantage to Nations with only 3 or 4 Athletes/Horses?
A. JRs Proposals submitted by NFs/MOU Stakeholders

Annex IX – RULES FOR UNDER 25 (U25), YOUNG RIDERS AND JUNIORS
   – Art. 18.1 – TEAM CLASSIFICATION

Annex XII – RULES FOR CHILDREN’S EVENTS
   – Art. 24.1 – TEAM CLASSIFICATION

Proposal:
• For details please refer to the provided document
Section B:
Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 252.6 ORDER OF STARTING IN GP COMPETITIONS

Issue:

• At many Events, the starting order for the GP is established by draw in three groups with the consequence that higher-ranked Athletes always start at the end of the GP (and as well in the J-O)

• This can be considered as an advantage, not based on merits achieved at the Event
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 252.6 ORDER OF STARTING IN GP COMPETITIONS

Proposal:

• The starting order for all Grand Prix Competitions must be established according to one of the following methods:
  – 6.1. the order of start is determined by a separate draw
  – 6.2. if there is a special ranking for the best Athlete or the best combination Athlete/Horse at the Event, the ranking in reverse order before the Grand Prix may be used as the order of starting
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 254.6 Number of Horses per Athlete in the Grand Prix

Issue:
• As a matter of principle Athletes may ride only one horse in the GP and/or competition with the highest prize money
• Exceptions should be only allowed at certain levels of events under certain conditions and restrictions
• The FEI JC strongly feels that Athletes should never be allowed to ride two horses in the GP or competition with the highest prize money at CSI4* and CSI5* events
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 254.6 Number of Horses per Athlete in the Grand Prix

Proposal:

• Exceptions for
  – CSI1*/CSI2*
  – CSI3*

• For details please refer to the provided document
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 261.4 Qualification and Eligibility for the Grand Prix Issue:

• As mentioned in Section A, the question has been raised if a CoC should be introduced as requirement for Athletes to compete in the main competition of CSI5*
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 261.4 Qualification and Eligibility for the Grand Prix

For details, please refer to the provided document
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 264 Nations Cup

**Issue:**

- It is necessary to standardise, modify or clarify issues related to:
  - 264.1.6 Format
  - 264.3 Obstacles and other technical requirements
  - 264.4 Athletes
  - 264.5 Participation
  - 264.7 Number of Teams and Athletes in the second round
  - 264.9 Placing and classification
  - 264.10 Nations Cups at other events (CSIOY, CSIOJ, CSIOCh, CSIOP)
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Art. 264 Nations Cup
Proposal:
• For details please refer to the provided document
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex V CSI Invitation Rules, Sections 1 – 3

Issue:

• Further to the re-introduction of CSI2* events in the online Invitation System, Annex V is updated in alignment with the Emergency Board Resolution for the re-introduction of CSI2* in the Invitation System as published on the website.

• In addition, the FEI JC recommends that all medal winners of the most recent Olympic Games or World Championship be invited to CSIs on a staggered timeline according to the medal won.
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex V CSI Invitation Rules, Sections 1 – 3

Proposal:

• The individual Jumping medal winners of the most recent Olympic Games and the individual Jumping medal winners of the most recent World Championship at the time of the reference ranking when applicable for the concerned event, must receive an invitation to all CSIs according to the following timeframes:
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex V CSI Invitation Rules, Sections 1 – 3

Proposal:

• (…), must receive an invitation to all CSIs according to the following timeframes:
  – The **gold medal winner** of the most recent Olympic Games, respectively of the most recent World Championship, must receive an invitation to all CSIs for the four years following the Olympic Games, respectively the World Championship, at which the medal was won
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex V CSI Invitation Rules, Sections 1 – 3

Proposal:

• (...) must receive an invitation to all CSIs according to the following timeframes:

  - The **silver medal winner** of the most recent Olympic Games, respectively of the most recent World Championship, must receive an invitation to all CSIs for the two years following the Olympic Games, respectively the World Championship, at which the medal was won
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex V CSI Invitation Rules, Sections 1 – 3

Proposal:

• (...) must receive an invitation to all CSIs according to the following timeframes:
  – The bronze medal winner of the most recent Olympic Games, respectively of the most recent World Championship, must receive an invitation to all CSIs for the year following the Olympic Games, respectively the World Championship, at which the medal was won.
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex VIII Qualification Procedure for Olympic Games, World and Continental Jumping Championships

Issue:

• The technical requirements for courses of competitions counting for MERs for Olympic Games, World and Continental Championships and the criteria for achieving MERs should be harmonised so that there are not different criteria for courses counting for qualification for these events

• The MER criteria should also be updated in view of the new competition format for the Olympic Games
B. Rules Proposals Submitted by the FEI and the FEI JC

Annex VIII Qualification Procedure for Olympic Games, World and Continental Jumping Championships

Proposal:
• For details please refer to the provided document
...and finally...

We thank
- the Members of the FEI Jumping Committee for their time and effort
- the team of the FEI Jumping Department for their support

and last, but not least

- Deborah Riplinger
  FEI Interim Director Jumping,
  for her contribution, dedication and expertise
Thank you very much for your attention
We are ready to take your questions