

## **Comments to the CSI/CSIO Requirements received by e-mail:**

*In addition to the documents received from stakeholders further to the FEI's request for proposals to the CSI/CSIO Requirements, a number of comments were received by e-mail. These are compiled below.*

*From the AUT NF:*

Austria fully supports the position of the EFF. In particular we would like to emphasize that we disagree with the proposed entry fee and would urge the FEI Jumping Committee to review it.

*From the FIN NF:*

Harmonization of entry fees:

To have a goal to globalize Jumping Sport worldwide is fine. However different countries and continents have different needs and systems. Harmonizing entry fees is not the way we should go. This makes it more difficult for smaller countries to organize International Shows and specially to get International riders to compete in these shows. NF Finland is not supporting this proposal.

*From the GBR NF (in addition to the comments received in a separate letter):*

Please find below the comment we have received from our International Show Organisers for Jumping following circulation of the FEI Jumping Department communication sent prior to Christmas:

FEI standardisation of entry fees – the charge for the electric hook-up should not be made a 'mandatory fee'; riders can compete at an international show without such facility, and it should be an optional item rather than made mandatory.

*From the NOR NF:*

The Norwegian Equestrian Federation is willing to follow the recommendation suggestion from the EEF Jumping Working Group for the solution for Harmonization of entry fees worldwide.

*From the SUI NF (in addition to the comments received in a separate letter):*

The existing principle of the fees should remain. We just could envisage that the fees should include the MCP fees, the electricity, manure and health paper to form a unique maximum sum as it is today.

*From the USA NF (in addition to the comments received in a separate letter):*

For Jumping the outcome of the GA was as follows;

In Annex VI of the Jumping Rules covering CSI and CSIO Requirements, the proposed introduction of a rule prohibiting the same horse from taking part in national and international competitions at the same event would not become effective on 1 January 2017 but would come into effect on 1 January 2018. The US NF Delegation withdrew its request for a separate vote on the proposed rule change in annex VI relating to OCs covering hotel and meal costs at CSI5\* events in North America. This rule change would not come into effect on 1 January 2017 but would come into effect on 1 January 2018. Additionally, it was agreed that organisers worldwide (AJO) would try to present a proposal harmonising CSI5\* / CSIO5\* requirements worldwide. This proposal would be presented during the

course of the coming year to the Jumping Committee for consideration and to the 2017 General Assembly.

Our position remains that we would not support a change to the 5\* requirements without a complete look at "harmonization"; i.e. change cannot be piecemeal

*From the International Jumping Owners Club (in addition to the comments received in a separate letter):*

On a general level, the Jumping Owners Club supports the FEI's efforts to harmonise CSI & CSIO's requirements worldwide. However, we would strongly recommend to keep in mind each region's economic situation when fixing the entry fees. It is indeed vital to support the development of the sport at all levels and not limit access to shows to an elite with too high entry fees. On a more specific level, here are some of the suggestions that the owners would like the FEI take into account.

- Horse Passport: « Not required for home horses » in CSI /CSIO 1\* & 2\*  
The edition of passport very often is (if not always) the occasion to make sure the vaccination process is up to date for each horse and ensures that all horses taking part in CSIs have been properly vaccinated and do not represent any risk. To take away the obligation of passport control could represent a sanitary risk. Moreover, there are always more mixed shows with 5\* shows with 1\*-2\* classes and in that case, what about the 5\* horses in terms of sanitary risks?
- Stabling: We suggest to separate national and international horses but also 1\*-2\* and 5\* horses at all shows, for obvious sanitary reasons.
- Negotiation of "special rates" for the accommodation of owners in official hotels
- Compulsory Access to the Shuttle service, which is often but not always the case
- Compulsory Access to the riders' restaurant, either at the organizer or at the owners' expenses
- List of accredited people provided by the owners instead of being under the supervision of the riders as it is today.
- Creation of an "Access Card" for all JOC members, granting them automatic access to all shows